BMJ Case Reports

Images in/Videos reviewer guidance

Thank you for taking the time to review this case report for BMJ Case Reports. We appreciate your time and interest in reviewing this manuscript.

✓ The images, videos and accompanying description should have significant learning value. Spectacular images where the learning value is not strong do not merit publication.

✓ The images should be of good quality, all relevant structures clearly and correctly labelled, and fully annotated. No identifying patient detail should be visible.

✓ Images of patients' faces are not accepted for publication.

✓ Videos should be no longer than 3-4 minutes and should include annotation and labels where appropriate. The patient's face should not be visible. People in the background should not be identifiable. There should be no background noise or music. If narrated, the narration should be appropriate and relevant.

✓ Although brief, the description should fully explain the relevance of the image or video. If necessary, the 500-word limit may be exceeded. Information should be presented in the most effective manner to optimise education (including original diagrams, flowcharts and tables). Essential supporting literature should be correctly cited and up-to-date. We used the Vancouver referencing system.

While reviewing the manuscript, please, consider the following general questions in your response to the editor:	
Are the authors' conclusions and learning points in the manuscript supported by the clinical information in the manuscript?	
Claims based on a single case should be carefully considered. Have the authors provided sufficient evidence to support their claims of an association, cause, or response to treatment?	
BMJ Case Reports does not publish manuscripts that describe the efficacy or effectiveness of new treatments or lifestyle changes, and does not publish the results of phase II, ongoing clinical trials, or off-label use of medication. Does the manuscript describe any of these scenarios?	
Are there any concerns about the management of the patient? e.g. is there evidence of negligence or poor care decisions?	

Are there any concerns about the standard of writing or presentation of data? Is there a timeline of clinical events? Is language correction needed? Has formal, scientific and neutral vocabulary been used? Are results of investigations presented optimally?	
Are there concerns that content has been plagiarised or generated by AI?	
Are the references provided by the author current, accurate, and relevant to each corresponding statement in the manuscript?	
Are the images properly deidentified?	